

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/892,695 07/15/97 GRAY J 023070068930 **EXAMINER** 022798 HM12/0731 LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN ALAN QUINE CANELLA P 0 BOX 458 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER ALAMEDA CA 94501 1642 **DATE MAILED:** 07/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/892,695

Applica...(s)

Gray et al

Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit 1642



ppears on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply ication. 's, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30	
period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND mailing date of this communication, even if timel	
is action is non-final.	
nce except for formal matters, prosecution	on as to the merits is
=	13.
	is/are pending in the applica
	is/are allowed
	is/are rejected
	is/are objected to
are subject to	restriction and/or election restriction
are subject to	restriction and/or election requirem
_ is a C _ approved b	N∏alia a a a
aminer.)∟Jdisapproved.
priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
3 (4)(2)	
ave been received.	
documents have been received in this Newscore (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
, 10(0).	
18) Interview Summan / DTO 443) Service	,
20) Other:	-132)
	s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (34 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND a mailing date of this communication, even if timel is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is action is non-final. The except for formal matters, prosecution is action is action in this non-final process. The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). The except for formal patent Application (PTO 18) interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Station in the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Application/Control Number: 08/892,695 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

Response to Amendment

- 1. Please note that the examiner assigned to your application in the PTO has changed.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 3. Claim 26 has been amended. Claims 26-28, 37 and 38 are under consideration.
- 4. After reviewing the file, a discrepancy is noted between numbering of claims 37 and 38 and Appendix A submitted with the response filed 5/11/01. It is noted that originally filed claim 36 recited, "The method of claim 26, wherein the probe comprises a polynucleotide sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:9". Originally filed claim 37 recited, "The method of claim 26, wherein the probe comprises a polynucleotide sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:10". These claims were renumbered as 37 and 38 respectively, on 2/1/00 under rule 126 as two claims numbered as "1" were originally filed. However, Appendix A indicates the original numbering of 36 and 37 for these two claims. The examiner maintains that these claims are 37 and 38, although they have been inadvertently referred to as claims 36 and 37 in the previous office actions.
- The rejection of claims 26-28 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by either Tanner et al (Clin Cancer Res, 1995, Vol. 1, pp. 1455-1461) or Tanner et al (Cancer Research, Aug 1996, Vol. 56, pp. 3441-3445), is maintained. Applicant has tried to obviate the rejection by substituting "consisting essentially of" for "comprising". However, a nucleotide consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO:9 would hybridize to the RMC20C001 probe disclosed by Tanner et al, as stated in the Office Action of 11/8/00. Amendment of claim 28 to be drawn to a method comprising contacting a mRNA or cDNA sample from a human patient with a probe consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO:9 and SEQ ID NO:10, in contrast to claim language encompassing any probe which hybridizes to sequences consisting of SEQ ID NO:9 and 10 is recommended. The

Application/Control Number: 08/892,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

rejection of claim 37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by either Tanner et al (Clin Cancer Res, 1995, Vol. 1, pp. 1455-1461) or Tanner et al (Cancer Research, Aug 1996, Vol. 56, pp. 3441-3445), is maintained for reasons of record as claim 37 persists in reading on a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO:9.

- 6. The provisional rejection of claims 37 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 101, as claiming the same invention of claims 35 and 36 of co-pending Application No. 08/731,499, is withdrawn.
- 7. The provisional rejection of claims 26-28, 37 and 38 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claims 24-26, 35-36 and 38 of co-pending Application No. 08/731,499 is maintained for reasons of record.
- 8. The provisional rejection of claims 26-28, 37 and 38 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claims 26-28, 37-38, 56-57 and 61-63 of co-pending Application No. 08/785,532, is maintained for reasons of record.
- 9. Claims 37 and 38 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 37 and 38 are dependent on claim 26. Claim 26 is drawn to a method of screening for neoplastic cells comprising the use of a probe consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO:9 or SEQ ID NO:10. Claims 37 and 38 are drawn to probes comprising SEQ ID NO:9 and SEQ ID NO:10, respectively. Thus claims 37 and 38 are wider in scope than claim 26.

Art Unit: 1642

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Canella whose telephone number is (703) 308-8362. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Group 1642

July 29, 2001

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600